Court No.2.

Writ Petition No. 329 of 2001(S/S)
Old No. 24676 of 1999

Parmanand Bhatt …….. Petitioner
Vs.
State and others ……. Respondents
….

Writ Petition No. 2700 of 2001(S/S)

Jas Bahadur …….. Petitioner
Vs.
State and others ……. Respondents
….

Writ Petition No. 354of 2001(S/S)

Jagat Singh …….. Petitioner
Vs.
State and others ……. Respondents
….

Writ Petition No. 333 of 2001(S/S)

Naresh Kumar …….. Petitioner
Vs.
State and U.P. others ……. Respondents
….

Writ Petition No. 342 of 2001(S/S)

Raj Bahadur …….. Petitioner
Vs.
State and others ……. Respondents
….

Writ Petition No. 352 of 2001(S/S)

Sur Beer Singh …….. Petitioner
Vs.
State and others ……. Respondents
….

Writ Petition No. 336 of 2001(S/S)

Sudesh Kumar …….. Petitioner
Vs.
State and others ……. Respondents
….

Writ Petition No. 351 of 2001(S/S)

Rajesh Pal …….. Petitioner
Vs.
State and others ……. Respondents
….

Hon. P.C. Verma, A.C.J.

All the aforementioned writ petitions involve common question of law and similar relief of regularization in the forest department has been claimed in these petitions. These writ petitions are, therefore, taken up together and disposed of by a common judgment.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Standing Counsel.

The petitioners claim themselves to be the daily wage employees in the forest department and covered by the decision given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 3634 of 1998 State of U.P. and others Vs. Putti Lal. Learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Standing Counsel agree that the direction may be given to the respondents to consider the cases of these petitioners in the light of the said judgment of the apex court and those of the petitioners who are covered by the said decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court be regularized and minimum of pay scale be also paid to them until their services are regularized. Let the necessary exercise be done within a period of six weeks.

All the aforesaid petitiohns are disposed of accordingly.

(P.C. Verma, A.C.J)

Dt. 19.6.2003
A